FURTHER SUBMISSION FORM



IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION/S ON NOTIFIED:

PROPOSED WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN CHANGE 1: WAIKATO AND WAIPĀ RIVER CATCHMENTS AND VARIATION 1 TO PROPOSED WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN CHANGE 1: WAIKATO AND WAIPĀ RIVER CATCHMENTS

Save this PDF to your computer before answering. If you edit the original form from this webpage, your changes will not save. Please check or update your software to allow for editing. We recommend Acrobat Reader.

Council needs to receive your further submission by 5pm, Monday, 17 September 2018. Please read the notes on making a Further Submission at the end of this form before completing your submission.

IMPORTANT NOTE

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter/s within 5 working days of being lodged with council. An address list of all submitters is included with the summary of decisions requested documents and is available at waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyrivers

YOUR NAME, ADDRESS FOR SERVICE AND CONTACT DETAILS (MANDATORY INFORMATION)

Name of submitter (individual/organisation)				
	King Country Energy Limited			
Contact person (if applicable)				
	Mr. Chris Fincham - King Country	y Energy General Manager		
Agent (if applicable)				
	Enspire Consulting - Bridgette Munro			
Email address for service	cfincham@kce.co.nz and bridgette@enspire.co.nz			
Postal address for service	King Country Energy Limited, Po Box 363			
	Taumarunui			
		Post code: 3946		
Phone number/s	Home:	Business: 07 8960100		
	Mobile: 0274792388	Fax:		

IN ACCORDANCE WITH SCHEDULE 1 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT:

I am	
0	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
	In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or
0	A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has. In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or
0	The local authority for the relevant area.

My reasons are (i.e. grounds for selection above):

King Country Energy Limited lodged primary submissions to proposed Plan change 1 to the Waikato Regional Plan onf the 3rd of March 2017. The Company has three hydroelectric power generation schemes in the Waikato region being Kuratau, Mokauiti and Wairere.

PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU WISH TO SPEAK AT A HEARING
Yes, I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my further submission.
No, I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my further submission.
JOINT SUBMISSION
If others make a similar submission, please tick this box if you would consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISSION PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND INDICATE BELOW
Yes, I have attached 10 extra sheets. No , I have not attached extra sheets.
SIGNATURE - NOTE A SIGNATURE IS NOT REQUIRED IF YOU MAKE YOUR SUBMISSION BY ELECTRONIC MEANS
Signed PP BROWN Date13th of September 2018
Type name if submitting electronically

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS CAN BE SENT BY



Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240



Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton



(07) 859 0998



healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz Please note: Submissions received by email must contain full contact details.

PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having trouble filling out this form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

Personal information is used for the administration of the submissions process and will be made public. All information collected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.

Form 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991.

NOTES ON MAKING A FURTHER SUBMISSION

1. Serving a copy of your further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on (i.e. received by) Waikato Regional Council.

2. Further submission content review

Please note that your further submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious
- · it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- · it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

3. Privacy information

The Waikato Regional Council will make all submissions and further submissions including name and contact details publicly available on Council's website. Under the RMA, any further submission supporting or opposing an original submission is required to be served on the original submitter after it is served on council therefore your contact details must be made available.

Personal information will also be used for administration relating to the subject matter of the submissions, including notifying submitters of hearings and decisions. All information will be held by the Waikato Regional Council with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.

Contact us for more information
Phone: 0800 800 401
Email: healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz





FURTHER SUBMISSION TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 TO THE WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN

Chief Executive

TO:

	401 Grey Street Private Bag 3038 Waikato Mail Centre HAMILTON 3240
BY E-MAIL:	healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz
FURTHER SUBMISSION ON:	Proposed Plan Change 1 to the Waikato Regional Plan
NAME OF FURTHER SUBMITTER:	King Country Energy Limited
ADDRESS FOR SERVICE:	King Country Energy Limited PO Box 363 TAUMARUNUI, 3946
	Attention: Mr. Chris Fincham
Phone:	(07) 8960100
Email:	cfincham@kce.co.nz

1.0 INTRODUCTION

King Country Energy Limited (hereafter referred to as 'KCE', or 'the Company') lodged primary submissions to proposed Plan Change 1 to the Waikato Regional Plan on the 3rd of March 2017. The Company has an interest in the various provisions and submission points that is greater than that of the general public.

KCE wishes to be heard in support of its submissions and further submissions. If others make similar further submissions, KCE would consider presenting a joint case with them at any hearing.

KCE cannot gain an advantage in trade competition through these submissions.

KCE's further submissions and the reasons for the same are set out within the following table, entitled 'Further Submissions to proposed Plan Change 1 to the Waikato Regional Plan.'

Signature:

Chris Fincham, for and on behalf of King Country Energy Limited

Date: 13th of September 2018

2.0 FURTHER SUBMISSIONS TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 TO THE WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN

Submitter ID Number	Submission Name	Relevant Proposed Plan Change 1 Provision / Submission Point	Support / Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought By KCE
73714	Contact Energy Limited (hereafter referred to as 'Contact') Genelle Slack Environmental Advisor Contact Energy Limited Wairakei Power Station Private Bag 2001 TAUPO 3352 Genelle.slack@co ntactenergy.co.nz	Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges Submission Point PC1-7380 The submitter requested the following relief: Amend Policy 11 to the following: "Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges Require any person undertaking a point source discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land in the Waikato and Waipa River catchments to adopt the Best Practicable Option to avoid or mitigate the adverse effects of the discharge, at the time a resource consent application is decided. Where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate all any significant adverse effects, an offset measure may be proposed in an alternative location or locations to the point source discharge, for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to lessen any residual adverse effects of the discharge(s) that will or may result from allowing the activity provided that	Support.	King Country Energy Limited (hereafter referred to as 'KCE' or 'the Company') supports the direction provided within Policy 11 for the adoption of the Best Practicable Option with regard to managing point source discharges and the provision within the policy which allows for offsetting the adverse effects of discharges to occur at alternative locations (subject to various restrictions). Contact have requested that the words 'all adverse effects' are amended to 'any significant adverse effects', stating that the removal of all adverse effects in many cases may not be feasible or practical, and that mitigation needs to be relative to the scale of the effect. KCE supports this approach noting that it is not always appropriate or necessary to avoid an adverse effect that is minor, or less than minor in scale.	KCE seeks: That Submission Point PC1-7380 be accepted.

		a. Primary discharge does not result in any significant toxic adverse effect at the point source discharge location; and b. Offset measure is for the same contaminant; and c. Offset measure occurs preferably within the same sub-catchment in which the primary discharge occurs and if this is not practicable, then within the same Freshwater Management Unit^ or a Freshwater Management Unit^ located upstream, and d. Offset measure remains in place for the duration of the consent and is secured by consent condition." Further to the amendment above ensure that all adverse effects of a point source discharge are not required to be avoided, mitigated or offset and amend to allow existing and low discharging activities to continue or to be established for both point and diffuse source discharges.			
74048	Fulton Hogan Limited	Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term	Support	KCE supports the intent of Objective 2 in that it is consistent with Part II of the Act.	KCE seeks: That Submission Point
	Sharon Dines	Submission Point PC1-10740		KCE accepts that water quality plays a	PC1-10740 be accepted.
	Fulton Hogan	The submitter requested the following relief:		vital role in enabling communities to	

	Limited C/o- Boffa Miskell Limited PO Box 91-250 Auckland 1142 Sharon.Dines@bof famiskell.co.nz	"Objective Two: Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term Waikato and Waipa communities and their economy benefit from the restoration and protection of water quality in the Waikato River catchment, which and the restoration and protection is undertaken in a way and at a rate that enables the people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural wellbeing."		provide for their social economic and cultural wellbeing. However, KCE considers that no community should face undue social and economic costs in achieving improved water quality, in this regard, a balanced approach is required.	
74122	The Royal Forest		Oppose	KCE is supportive of the 80-year	KCE seeks:
	and Bird Protection	protection of water quality for each sub-		timeframe proposed within proposed	That Submission Points
		catchment and Freshwater Management Unit		Objective 1 to achieve the Vision and	PC1-8218 and PC1-8228
	Society	Submission Point PC1-8218		Strategy set out in Plan Change 1. The Company considers that this	
	Jen Miller	Subinission Point PC1-6216		timeframe is both appropriate and	be rejected.
	Jen Miller	The submitter requested the following relief:		achievable in that it takes into	
	The Royal Forest	The submitter requested the rottowing retier.		account the socio-economic effects of	
	and Bird	"Long-term restoration and protection of		implementing a change in	
	Protection Society	water quality for each sub-catchment and		management practices.	
	of New Zealand	Freshwater Management Unit. The restoration			
	PO Box 2516	and protection of water quality to achieve		In this regard, the Company considers	
	Christchurch	healthy rivers by 2050. "		that the 80-year timeframe recognises	
				that achieving these targets will be	
	j.miller@forestan	AND RETAIN the explanation.		difficult and costly to the community,	
	dbird.org.nz			and that new technologies and	
		Reasons for Objective 1		practices will be needed that are not	
		Cub maissing Deight DC4 0222		currently available or economically	
		Submission Point PC1-8228		feasible. It also recognises that considerable tracts of land will need	
		The submitter requested the following relief:		to change land-use to de-intensify	
		The submitter requested the following relief.		to change tand-use to de-intensity	

		AMEND the reasons for adopting Objective 1 to reflect the changes sought for Objective 1.		discharges of contaminants. In summary, KCE considers that an 80-year timeframe is realistic in terms of achieving the water quality targets set out in Table 3.11-1, given the complexity of managing diffuse discharges, the scale of the change in water quality sought and the very significant costs to the community and inability to adapt if such changes are driven over a shorter period.	
74122	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Jen Miller The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand PO Box 2516 Christchurch j.miller@forestan dbird.org.nz	wellbeing is maintained in the long term Submission Point PC1-8220 The submitter requested the following relief:	Oppose	While the restoration, protection and enhancement of water quality contributes to social, economic and cultural wellbeing, KCE considers that the approach to achieving water quality must be balanced as intended by the purpose of the Resource Management Act 1991 ('the Act' or 'the RMA'). KCE considers that the wording of Objective 2 as notified, provides some assurance that the scale and rate of change will not inflict undue social, economic and cultural costs on the community.	KCE seeks: That Submission Point PC1-8220 be rejected.
74122	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Jen Miller	Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each subcatchment and Freshwater Management Unit. Submission Point PC1-8221	Oppose	KCE considers that the target of achieving 10 percent of the required water quality improvement by 2026 to be realistic. To require the achievement of immediate improvements may not be possible	KCE seeks: That Submission Points PC1-8221, PC1-8230 and PC1-8257 be rejected.

The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand PO Box 2516 Christchurch j.miller@forestan dbird.org.nz	The submitter requested the following relief: "Immediate improvements are achieved in water quality in each sub- catchment and Freshwater Management Unit Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit"	and would likely give rise to significant costs and economic hardship to those who must implement immediate measures to bring about the required improvements.
	"Actions are put in place and implemented by 2026 immediately to reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, are sufficient to achieve ten percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80-year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1. A ten percent change towards the long term water quality improvements is indicated by the short term water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1"	
	Reasons for Objective 3 Submission Point PC1-8230 The submitter requested the following relief:	
	AMEND the reasons for adopting Objective 3 to reflect the changes sought in Objective 3.	

		AND AMEND to ensure the Council set dates for limits/targets in a rule to trigger a review of consents on a sub-catchment or Freshwater Management Unit basis.			
		Policy 5: Staged Approach			
		Submission Point PC1-8257			
		The submitter requested the following relief:			
		"Recognise that achieving the water quality attribute targets set out in Table 11-1 will need to be staged over 35 years 80 years, to minimise social disruption and allow for innovation and new practices to develop, while making a start on reducing discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, and preparing for further reductions that will be required in subsequent regional plans. Requiring reductions immediately, an additional reduction in the medium to long term where these are necessary to achieve the targets."			
73182	Mercury NZ Limited	Policy 9: Sub-catchment (including edge of field) mitigation planning, co-ordination and funding	Support	KCE supports in principle a collaborative approach to subcatchment mitigation planning in	KCE seeks: That Submission Point
	Miles Rowe PO Box 445	Submission Point PC1-9569		order to efficiently and effectively contribute to water quality	PC1-9569 be accepted.

Miles.rowe@ury.co.nz	The submitter requested the following relief:		improvements. In doing so, KCE considers that representation from all relevant parties are required in order to agree balanced and practical solutions. For this reason, KCE supports the amendment suggested by Mercury to include 'stakeholders' as parties that should be engaged early regarding sub-catchment water quality management.	
	a. Engaging early with tangata whenuted and with landowners, stakeholders communities and potential funding partners in sub-catchments in line with the priority areas listed in Table 3.11 2; and"	<u>.</u> !		
73182 Mercury Limited Miles Rowe	NZ Policy 10: Provide for Point Source Discharges of regional significance Submission Point PC1-9572	Support	Given that the term 'regionally significant industry' is addressed in Policy 10, KCE considers that it is appropriate to include a definition for	PC1-9572 and PC1-9687
PO Box 445 HAMILTON 32	The submitter requested the following relief:		the same.	be accepted.
Miles.rowe@ury.co.nz			KCE considers that the definition requested by Mercury NZ Limited is appropriate in that it is generally	
	Include a definition for regionally significan industry.		consistent with definition provided within the Operative Waikato Regional Policy Statement, while proposing to	
	Submission Point PC1-9687		clarify those activities that the definition does and does not cover.	
	The submitter requested that a new definition of regionally significant industry be included in the Glossary of Terms to read:			

	"Regionally significant industry - For the purpose of Chapter 3.11, means an economic activity based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale, including their associated point source discharges. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes the following activities, but does not include primary production activities or Certified Sector Schemes: a. Dairy manufacturing sites b. Meat processing and rendering Plants c. Pulp and paper processing plants; and d. mineral extraction activities."			
--	---	--	--	--