

## 17 September 2018

**TO:** Waikato Regional Council

By email: <a href="mailto:healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz">healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz</a>

**FROM:** Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated

Attn: Rebecca Stirnemann

PO Box 108 055 Symonds Street Auckland 1150

Contact: R.Stirnemann@forestandbird.org.nz or 021 482 3145

## Further submission on the Proposed Plan Change 1 and Variation 1 to the Waikato Regional Plan

- 1. Forest & Bird represents a relevant aspect of the public interest, and has an interest greater than the public generally. Forest & Bird is New Zealand's largest non-governmental conservation organization representing its members and supporters, and made a submission on proposed PC1 to the Waikato Regional Plan.
- 2. Forest and Bird could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
- 3. Forest & Bird wishes to be heard in support of this submission, and would be prepared to consider presenting this submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing.

## Introduction

- 4. Forest & Bird is New Zealand's largest non-governmental conservation organization with many members and supporters. Forest & Bird originally set out to protect New Zealand's unique flora and fauna, the tasks of Forest and Bird in more recent years have extended to protecting and maintaining the environment surrounding the flora and fauna.
- 5. Forest & Bird is concerned that some of the decisions sought to the Regional Plan would result in loss of indigenous biodiversity and are inconsistent with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2015 (amended 2017)("NPF FM") direction to set objectives and limits to improve freshwater management to maintain and enhance water quality and associated ecosystems. Forest & Bird also supports a number of submissions which seek to retain or amend provisions of the plan to protect, maintain and enhance freshwater quality and the indigenous biodiversity of the region. Our further submissions are set out in the Table 1 and Table 2 below.

**Table 1**: Forest & Bird generally supports or opposes the following submissions in full on both PC1 and Variation 1, other than where we have sought specific relief on specific decisions requested in Table 2 (PC1) or Table 3 (V1) below.

Submitter Name/Submitter ID	provision	Submission Point ID	Support/ Oppose	Reason for Support/Opposition	Decision sought
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1 and Variation 1	all	support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA  Forest and bird supports the submission other than where the amendments seek outcomes for exotic species and this is not to the benefit of indigenous species or enhancement of indigenous biodiversity.	Allow
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1 and Variation 1	all	support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA.	Allow
Federated Farmers of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74191	PC1 and Variation 1	all	oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with councils functions and responsibilities under section 30(1)(ga) and Section 6 the RMA. Do not give effect to the NPS FM and the NZCPS.  The amendments sought include changes to the wording which shifts the focus away from achieving the ecological outcomes within a timely manner.	Disallow
Fonterra cooperative group Submitter ID: 74057	PC1 and Variation 1	all	oppose	The amendments sought are inappropriate	disallow
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1 and Variation 1	all	oppose	The amendments sought are to not recognise and provide for s6 matters. overall the amendments sought are inconsistent with achieving the purpose of the act and do not give effect to the NPS FM.	disallow

**Table 2**: Forest & Bird further submits on the following specific decisions requested on PC1:

Submitter Name/Submitter ID	provision	Submission Point ID	Support/ Oppose	Reason for Support/Opposition	Decision sought
Background and explana	ation				
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	Collaborative approach	PC1-9898	Support in part	Amendments to acknowledge that the plan does not adequately address all sources of contaminants that impact on values identified in the Vision and Strategy are appropriate.	Allow
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerati onal	PC1-11380	oppose	The amendments sought are inappropriate. Changing the words "Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy" to "The 80-year timeframe recognizes the 'innovation gap' that means full achievement of water quality requires technologies or practices that are not yet available or economically feasible." Undermines the objectives and purpose of the plan.	disallow
3.11.2 Objectives					
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	Objectives general	PC1-11150	oppose	The amendments sought are uncertain. Timeframes are not specified and terminology such as "appropriately enable" is subjective.	disallow
Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd Submitter ID: 73724	New objective	PC1-5368	oppose	The amendment sought is inappropriate. The objective combines adverse effects with positive effects on different matters such that the requirement to avoid, remedy or mitigate become uncertain.	disallow
Objective 2					
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	Objective 2	PC1-10457	oppose	The amendment sought is not consistent with sustainable development expressed in part 2 of the Act. The wording proposed creates uncertainty and subjective when considering land use change, new use and development activities.	disallow

Objective 3					
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	Objective 3	PC1-11482	oppose	The amendments sought are inconsistent with the sustainable management purpose of the RMA and do not give effect to the NPS FM. The suggested extensions to timeframes are inappropriate.	disallow
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	Objective 3	PC1-9945	Oppose	Amendments are uncertain as they provide an alternative which is not measured against achieving the objective targets. The plan would not give effect to the NPS FM	disallow
Objective 4					
Objective 4		T		<u> </u>	
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	Objective 4	PC1-11483	oppose	The amendments sought are uncertain and appear to extent timeframes required to meet water quality targets. Non-regulatory methods may be appropriate to set out how council will support community and partnership initiatives.	disallow
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	Objective 4	PC1-10468	oppose	While the values and uses identified in Section 3.11.1 may be considered however as written it is not clear that values which are or contribute to a matter of national importance are to be recognised and provided for, not only considered.	disallow
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	Objective 4	PC1-10005	oppose	The amendments sought are not appropriate. Nutrient management and modelling tools are already available. Reductions of nutrient and sediment discharges must address adverse effects and achieve water quality targets.	disallow
3.11.3 Policies					
0.11.3 1 0110100					

Beef + Lamb New	Policies	PC1-11484	oppose	The amendments sought are uncertain in terms of the	disallow
Zealand Limited	general			sub-catchment approach and application of Appendix 1	
Submitter ID: 73369				attached to the submission.	
Chapman, Brenhan J	Policies	PC1-10261	oppose	The amendments sought are inappropriate	disallow
Submitter ID: 72776	general				
Hamilton City Council	Policies	PC1-10259	oppose	The amendments sought are inappropriate. Urbanisation	disallow
Submitter ID: 74051	general			should be planned for such that water quality targets can be met.	
Ravensdown Limited	Policies	PC1-10121	oppose	Policy direction for consent duration is not necessary	disallow
Submitter ID: 74058	general		1	and the matters set out are not appropriate	
Waitomo District	Policies	PC1-11105	oppose	The amendments sought do not set clear policy direction	disallow
Council	general			and are unlikely to achieve the objectives.	
Submitter ID: 73688					
3.11.3 Policy 1					
Advisory Committee	Policy 1	PC1-9536	Oppose	The amendment is uncertain as to what levels of	disallow
on Regional				discharge will be determined as low/medium/high and	
Environment (ACRE)				reducing in order does not support a best practice	
Submitter ID: 72441				approach.	
Beef + Lamb New	Policy 1	PC1-11485	oppose	Support the use of land use capability in appropriate	disallow
Zealand Limited				circumstances. Enabling activities on this basis is	
Submitter ID: 73369				uncertain to achieve water quality targets. The	
				allocation approach set out is inappropriate	
Beef + Lamb New	Policy 1	PC1-12575	oppose	A tailored or sub catchment approach is not appropriate	disallow
Zealand Limited					
Submitter ID: 73369					
Federated Farmers of	Policy 1	PC1-10815	oppose	The consideration of improvement only on specific	disallow
New Zealand				contaminant contribution does not reflect the plans	
Submitter ID: 74191				approach to best management practice.	
Fertiliser Association	Policy 1	PC1-9707	oppose	The amendments sought are inappropriate and would	disallow

of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305				exclude requirements for best management practice unless a sub-catchment is over allocated.	
Submitter ID. 73303				uniess a sub-catcimient is over anotated	
3.11.3 Policy 2					
Advisory Committee on Regional Environment (ACRE) Submitter ID: 72441	Policy 2	PC1-9505	Oppose	The amendment is uncertain as to what levels of discharge will be determined as low/medium/high and reducing in order does not support a best practice approach.	
2.11.2 Doliny 2					
3.11.3 Policy 3 Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	Policy 3	PC1-9731	oppose	The amendments sought are inappropriate and would exclude requirements for best management practice unless a sub-catchment is over allocated	disallow
3.11.4.8 next regional p		I 201 10211			
DairyNZ Submitter ID: 74050	3.11.4.8	PC1-10241	oppose	A nutrient allocation framework needs to be adopted as soon as possible.	disallow
3.11.5 Rules					
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	rules	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	Support in part	A discretionary classification is not appropriate where non-compliance with conditions would result in an increase in nutrient loss/discharge.  Any nitrogen allocation regime would need appropriate provisions to give effect to the nutrient management requirements of the NPS FM	allow
Federated Farmers of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74191	rules	PC1-1084	oppose	The amendments sought are not appropriate and would not achieve the objectives or give effect to the NPS FM.	disallow
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)	New rule	PC1-10162	oppose	The amendments sought are not appropriate and create further uncertainty in achieving the objectives.	disallow

Submitter ID: 73801					
3.11.5.1 Permitted Activ	ity Rule				
Aston, Lucy Submitter ID: 73020	3.11.5.1	PC1-7032	oppose	Combining the rules is not appropriate and the additional matters to be included in the rule/s are uncertain in achieving water quality outcomes necessary to give effect to the NPS FM.	disallow
3.11.5.1 Permitted Activ	vity Rule– Farm a	activities			
Alcock and Easton, Jo	3.11.5.2	PC1-9218	oppose	The amendments sought are inappropriate	disallow
and John	3.11.3.2	1 C1 3210	Оррозс	The amendments sought are mappropriate	disallow
Submitter ID: 73374					
Beef + Lamb New	3.11.5.2	PC1-11502	oppose	The amendments sought are not appropriate	disallow
Zealand Limited					
Submitter ID: 73369					
3.11.5.3 Permitted Activ	vity Rule– Farm a	activities under	a Certified Indu	stry Scheme	
Adams, Neville	New rule	PC1-5062	oppose	Assumes a nitrogen reference point without any	disallow
Submitter ID: 74154				requirements. Not appropriate as permitted	
Aitken, David John	3.11.5.3	PC1-696	oppose	The amendments sought are inappropriate and would	disallow
Submitter ID: 71238				not achieve the purpose of the RMA.	
3.11.5.4 Controlled Activ					•
Advisory Committee	3.11.5.4	PC1-11208	Support in	Any exceptions should be set out as permitted activities	allow
on Regional			part	and will require careful consideration and clear	
Environment (ACRE)				identification of any low level discharges not required to	
Submitter ID: 72441				be reduced.	
				The use of Overseer is supported so long as council	
				requires adequate information and sets appropriate time	
				frames to reduce nutrient loss and improve water	
				quality. Reducing the reducing the nitrogen leaching	

				from the 75 <sup>th</sup> percentile to below the 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile to achieve improved water quality should be considered within appropriate timeframes.	
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	3.11.5.4	PC1-10500	Support in part	The approach to splitting the rule clarifies the activity classifications, however the conditions and requirements need to ensure that there is no increase in diffuse discharge of contaminants.	allow
3.11.5.5 Controlled Activ	 vity Rule – Existi	ng commercial v	ı vegetable prodı	uction	
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	3.11.5.5	PC1-10505	Support in part	Clarification of the rule is supported however the dates added into g. should align with the dates in f.	allow
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	3.11.5.5	PC1-10117	oppose	The amendments sought are uncertain and inappropriate. In particular the alternative/proxy nutrient reference calculation and inclusion of consent duration guidance.	disallow
2.44 F.C.D. atviated Disc.		Dla Thansa			
3.11.5.6 Restricted Disci Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	3.11.5.6	PC1-10506	oppose	Any change in land use which may result in Any increase in diffuse discharges of contaminants should be non-complying.	disallow
3.11.5.7 Non-Complying	•				
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	3.11.5.7	PC1-11002	support	Notification is appropriate	allow

3.11.4					
Beef + Lamb New	New method	PC1-11494	oppose	The amendment sought is uncertain in terms of the	disallow
Zealand Limited				decision making and consenting aspects for a non-	
Submitter ID: 73369				regulatory method.	
DairyNZ	New method	PC1-10239	Support in	Further research is supported however this should not	allow
Submitter ID: 74050			part	be used as a reason to reduced targets or timeframes	
				but farther to enable achieving then sooner.	
Maniapoto Maori	New method	PC1-933	oppose	Methods to reduce diffuse discharges are supported	disallow
Trust Board				however it is uncertain how the system proposed is	
Submitter ID: 73730				intended to operate such that it does not differ a	
				decision or information necessary t o make a decision	
				until after consent is granted.	
Schedule 1 - Requireme	nts for Farm Env		5/		
Horticulture New	New	PC1-12435	oppose	The amendment sought are not appropriate	
Zealand (HortNZ)	schedule 1C				
Submitter ID: 73801					
Horticulture New	New	PC1-12435	oppose	The purpose of a separate vegetable cropping farm plan	disallow
Zealand (HortNZ)	schedule 1B			is uncertain. The measurement of nutrients is not	
Submitter ID: 73801				consistent between the farm plans. The approach appear	
				inconsistent with achieving the objectives of the plan	
				and giving effect to the NPS FM	
Horticulture New	Schedule 1	PC1-12436	oppose	The purpose of a separate vegetable cropping farm plan	disallow
Zealand (HortNZ)				is uncertain. The measurement of nutrients is not	
Submitter ID: 73801				consistent between the farm plans. The approach appear	
				inconsistent with achieving the objectives of the plan	
				and giving effect to the NPS FM	
Te Arawa River Iwi	Schedule 1	PC1-11817	support	The amendments sought clarify requirements	allow
Trust					
Submitter ID: 73697					

3.11.6 List of Tables					
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	3.11.6 List of Tables and Maps	PC1-10882	oppose	Inconsistent with achieving the objectives of the plan and giving effect to the NPS FM	disallow
Lakes and Waterways Action Group Trust (LWAG) Submitter ID: 53342	3.11.6 List of Tables and Maps	PC1-4073	support	Will aid in achieving the objectives of the plan and giving effect to the NPS FM	disallow
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	3.11.6 List of Tables and Maps	PC1-10882	Oppose in part	Inconsistent with achieving the objectives of the plan and giving effect to the NPS FM	disallow
Table 3.11-1:			•		
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	Table 3.11-1	PC1-11158	Oppose in part	Where inconsistent with achieving the objectives of the plan and giving effect to the NPS FM and the Ministry for the Environment's Clean Water package	disallow
Waikato Environment Centre Submitter ID: 73436	Table 3.11.1	PC1-6231	support	Will aid in achieving the objectives of the plan and giving effect to the NPS FM	allow
5.1.5 Conditions					
New Zealand Farm Forestry Association - Waikato Branch Submitter ID: 73698	5.1.5	PC1-10132	oppose	The amendments sought are not appropriate as setbacks will not be sufficient in all locations and the notice requirement for a harvest plan should be retained.	disallow
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	5.1.5 q)(a)(iv) and (b)(iv)	PC1-9683	oppose	Not all districts have identified SNAs in their plans. Many areas in the region have not yet been surveyed and assessed.	disallow

DEFINITIONS					
BT Mining Ltd Submitter ID: 72453	New definition - Regionally significant industry	PC1-9921	oppose	The amendment sought is not appropriate to give effect to the NPS FM and could result in outcomes which do not provide for protection required under s6 of the RMA	disallow
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	New definition – regionally significant industry	PC1-10658	oppose	Provision for regionally significant industry is inappropriate for the reasons set out in Forest and Birds submission. If that submission is declined then careful consideration for a definition will be required. The submission is uncertain as to what the definition would include.	disallow
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	New definition – regionally significant industry	PC1-12311	oppose	The amendment sought is not appropriate to give effect to the NPS FM and could result in outcomes which do not provide for protection required under s6 of the RMA	disallow
New Zealand Steel Ltd Submitter ID: 73790	New definition – regionally significant industry	PC1-3711	oppose	The amendment sought is not appropriate to give effect to the NPS FM and could result in outcomes which do not provide for protection required under s6 of the RMA	disallow
Lumbercorp NZ Ltd Submitter ID: 71753	New definition – regionally significant industry	PC1-9960	oppose	The amendment sought is not appropriate to give effect to the NPS FM and could result in outcomes which do not provide for protection required under s6 of the RMA	disallow
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	New definition – regionally	PC1-2968	oppose	The amendment sought is not appropriate to give effect to the NPS FM and could result in outcomes which do not provide for protection required under s6 of the RMA	disallow

	significant industry				
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	New definition – Regionally significant infrastructur e	PC1-10616	oppose	The amendment sought is not appropriate to give effect to the NPS FM and could result in outcomes which do not provide for protection required under s6 of the RMA	disallow
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	New definition – regionally significant industry	PC1-9687	oppose	The amendment sought is not appropriate to give effect to the NPS FM and could result in outcomes which do not provide for protection required under s6 of the RMA	disallow
AFFCO New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 74140	New definition - Regionally significant industry	PC1-7620	oppose	The amendment sought is not appropriate to give effect to the NPS FF and could result in outcomes which do not provide for protection required under s6 of the RMA	disallow
AFFCO New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 74140	New definition - best practicable option	PC1-7620	oppose	Guidance is better than including a definition which could be inconsistent with the RMA.	disallow
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	New definition – low discharge activity	PC1-10658	oppose	The amendment sought is uncertain. Any limits should be specific to the relevant provisions.	disallow
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership	New definition -	PC1-11376	oppose	The amendment sought is uncertain	disallow

Submitter ID: 74045	low level discharge				
Dairy Goat Co- Operative (N.Z) Ltd Submitter ID: 74044	New definition – Stock or Live stock	PC1-4140	oppose	It is not appropriate to exclude animals which are farmed from a definition for livestock. It is not clear that a definition is needed.	disallow
Empson, Alan Jephson Howard Submitter ID: 74152	New definition - Forest	PC1-9095	oppose	The amendment sought is uncertain.	disallow
South Waikato District Council Submitter ID: 72892	New definition – Regionally significant infrastructur e	PC1-9724	oppose	The new definition is uncertain as "ancillary infrastructure" and to how it applies to the plan provisions	disallow
Taupo District Council Submitter ID: 74207	New definition – Regionally significant infrastructur e	PC1-8172	oppose	The inclusion sought is uncertain as it may apply as site specific rather than for a regional significance	disallow
Hamilton City Council Submitter ID: 74051	New definition – regionally significant infrastructur e	PC1-10208	oppose	The amendment is uncertain in terms of providing a "similar" definition to the RPS. Nor is it clear how this definition would be appropriate to PC1	disallow
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	New definition – effective	PC1-10616	oppose	The amendment sought is uncertain as to how it will apply in the plan.	disallow

	hectares				
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	New definition – protected wetland	PC1-10616	oppose	The amendment sought is uncertain as to how it will apply in the plan.	disallow
Hamilton City Council Submitter ID: 74051	New definition – ecosystem services	PC1-10208	Support in part	Such a definition may be helpful in the context of the resource use however it also needs to be considered in the context of natural values. This should include the including consideration of direct and indirect benefits to economic, social and cultural wellbeing.	allow
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	New definition – Catchment Collective	PC1-10227	oppose	The definition is uncertain as it applies to the provisions in the plan	disallow
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	New definition - Certified Farm Environment Planner	PC1-10227	oppose	The definition is inappropriate in relation to the provisions of a plan, however guidance could be included to ensure appropriate conditions are set on resource consents.	disallow
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	New definition - Sub- catchment Scale Managemen t Plans	PC1-10227	oppose	The definition is uncertain as it applies to the provisions in the plan	disallow
Maniapoto Maori	New	PC1-9369	oppose	The definition proposed is uncertain as worded. If a	disallow

Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	definition – intermittentl y flowing river			definition is needed to clarify terms in the plan it will need to be clearly worded.	
New Zealand Grain and Seed Trade Association Submitter ID: 71229	New definition – winter	PC1-1688	oppose	The amendment sought is uncertain	disallow
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	New definition – Current version of Overseer	PC1-3666	Support	Consistent with best use of Overseer	allow
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	New definition – Nitrogen Reference Period	PC1-3666	oppose	It is uncertain whether this is a new definition or an amendment. The amended wording does not resolve the matters raised in Forest and Birds submission.	disallow
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	New definition – Nitrogen reference period data	PC1-3666	oppose	The definition is uncertain in how they apply to the provisions of the plan and whether the best environmental outcomes will be achieved to deliver on the objectives.	disallow
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	New definition – Nitrogen Reference point data	PC1-3666	oppose	The definition is uncertain in how they apply to the provisions of the plan and whether the best environmental outcomes will be achieved to deliver on the objectives.	disallow
Waikato Regional Council	New definition –	PC1-3666	oppose	It is not appropriate to have different definitions for the same term. If there is a need to address a specific	disallow

Submitter ID: 72890	Wetland			location of matter this should be set out within the relevant provision.	
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	New definition – adaptive management	PC1-11400	oppose	The words proposed go beyond defining the term. A definition of adaptive management is not appropriate to manage effects. As worded it in uncertain whether the objectives of the plan can be met.	disallow
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	New definition – Decision Support Tool	PC1-11400	oppose	The definition sought is inappropriate in making decisions under the RMA and is uncertain in the context of the plan provisions to achieve the objectives.	disallow
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	New definition – mitigation measures	PC1-11400	oppose	The definition is inappropriate	disallow
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	New definition – sub- catchment management plan	PC1-11400	oppose	The definition is inappropriate	disallow
Wallace, Martin Lindsay Submitter ID: 72975	New definition – Nitrogen discharge limit	PC1-8403	oppose	The amendment sought is inappropriate	disallow
Grainger, Chris and Andrea Submitter ID: 74153	New definition – Water bodies	PC1-8187	oppose	The amendment sought is inconsistent with the RMA which includes an intermittently flowing river as a water body	disallow

Mangakotukutuku Stream Care Group Incorporated Submitter ID: 72412	New definition – Wetland	PC1-4474	oppose	The definition amendment proposed is inconsistent with the RPS	disallow
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	New definition – Point source discharges	PC1-11400	oppose	The amendment sought is inappropriate	disallow
FarmRight Submitter ID: 73720	New definition - Freshwater Managemen t Unit	PC1-5415	oppose	The definition sought is uncertain	disallow
Amendments to definiti					
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	75 <sup>th</sup> percentile	PC1-3664	oppose	The amendments sought do not clarify the definition.	disallow
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	75 <sup>th</sup> percentile	PC1-2158	support	As written the 7 <sup>th</sup> percentile is uncertain and inappropriately applied in the plan.	allow
AFFCO New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 74140	Best management practice	PC1-7675	oppose	The amendment sought is not appropriate as best practicable option is different concept and approach.	disallow
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	Best management practice	PC1-10659	oppose	The amendment sought is uncertain as to what is "good management practice" and how this would be applied in the provisions	disallow
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	Enterprises	PC1-10232	oppose	The specification of primary industries is not appropriate	disallow
Fonterra Co-operative	Five-year	PC1-10576	oppose	the amendment sought is uncertain and may result in	disallow

Group Ltd	rolling			slower decreases of nitrogen loss requirements	
Submitter ID: 74057	average				
Genetic Technologies	Forage crop	PC1-3341	oppose	The exclusions sought are inappropriate	disallow
Ltd					
Submitter ID: 73953					
Kilgour, Gareth	Forage crop	PC1-1953	oppose	The exclusions sought are inappropriate	disallow
Submitter ID: 72950					
McGovern, Annette	Forage crop	PC1-8295	oppose	The exclusions sought are inappropriate	disallow
Submitter ID: 72969					
New Zealand Grain	Forage crop	PC1-1680	oppose	The exclusions sought are inappropriate	disallow
and Seed Trade					
Association					
Submitter ID: 71229					
AFFCO New Zealand	Good	PC1-7678	oppose	The amendment sought is not appropriate as best	disallow
Limited	management			practicable option is different concept and approach.	
Submitter ID: 74140	practice				
Auckland/Waikato	Livestock	PC1-11017	oppose	The amendments sought are not appropriate in the	disallow
Fish and Game	crossing			definition. These matters would be better addressed as	
and Eastern Region	structure			conditions and standards for new structures.	
Fish and Game					
Submitter ID: 74085					
Waipapa Farms Ltd	Offsets	PC1-4640	Oppose	The amendment sought confuses the concepts offset	disallow
and Carlyle				and compensation. It is not clear how either could	
Holdings Ltd				effectively be applied to achieve water quality targets.	
Submitter ID: 73863					

**Table 3**: Forest & Bird further submits on the following specific decisions requested on V1:

Submitter Name/Submitter ID	provision	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Reason for Support/Opposition	Decision sought
Variation 1- general					
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	General	V1PC1-1548	Support	The councils reliance on a flawed s32 report to inform plan development has resulted in an ineffective approach and timeframes to nutrient and thus water quality management.	allow
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish General and Game	General	V1PC1-336	Support	Support RETAINING the notification date of 22 October 2016 within the Explanatory Statement. (V1)	disallow
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	General	V1PC1-1703	Oppose	The exceptions to stock exclusion are not appropriate.	disallow
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	General	V1PC1-1703	Oppose	The monitoring and management of nitrogen discharge is necessary to achieve water quality objectives. The removal of provisions with manage nitrogen discharges will not give effect to the NPS FM.	disallow
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	General	V1PC1-1705 and V1PC1- 1729	Support in part	Forest and bird agree with beef and Lamb that the section 32 analyses are inadequate. However the alternative provisions sought in the submission are generally not appropriate.	allow
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	General	V1PC1-1269, V1PC1-1698	Support	Forest and bird agree with DOC that the section 32 analyses are inadequate. For instance it does not include the benefits of water quality maintenance and improvements in the model. This has resulted in costs	allow

				being determined to be higher and the timeframes to achieve targets being determined to require longer.	
Waikato River Authority Submitter ID: 74033 Support	General	V1PC1-375	Support	The plan wording which includes 'wetland and springs' alongside the term 'river' and the amendment sought to include 'lakes' is appropriate	allow
Waikato River Authority Submitter ID: 74033 Support	General	V1PC1-1017	Support	The amendments sought are appropriate.	
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	General	V1PC1-1656	Support in part	While efficient resource use is a relevant consideration the amendment sought is not clear on how this is relevant in an objective for water quality outcomes. A nitrogen allocation framework is necessary to give effect to the NPS FM.	Allow in part
Background and explai	nation				
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	Collaborative approach	V1PC1-1618	Support in part	Amendments to acknowledge that the plan does not adequately address all sources of contaminants that impact on values identified in the Vision and Strategy are appropriate.	Allow
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerati onal	V1PC1-1045	oppose	The amendments sought are inappropriate. Changing the words "Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy" to "The 80-year timeframe recognizes the 'innovation gap' that means full achievement of water quality requires technologies or practices that are not yet available or economically feasible." This undermines the objectives and purpose of the plan.	disallow
3.11.2 Objectives					
Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	3.11.2	V1PC1-1381	Support in part	This is appropriate to capture all freshwater ecosystems types.	allow

Objective 1					
Maniapoto Maori	Objective 1:	V1PC1-1291	support	We support the statement of the Trust.	allow
Trust Board Submitter	Long-term				
ID: 73730	restoration				
	and				
	protection of				
	water quality				
	for each sub-				
	catchment				
	and				
	Freshwater				
	Management				
	Unit				
Policy 3	I	1			
Federated Farmers of	Use values -	V1PC1-108	Oppose	Amendments sought are not appropriate	disallow
New Zealand	Human				
Submitter ID: 74191	health for				
	recreation				
3.11.4.4 Lakes and Wha					
Primary Land Users	3.11.4.4	V1PC1-495	support	Support to achieve improvements to ecosystem health	allow
Group Submitter ID:	Lakes and				
71427	Whangamari				
	no Wetland				
Glossary of terms	T	1			
Coles, Donald Percy	New	V1PC1-699	oppose	The definition and process referred to are	disallow
Submitter ID: 71337	definition			inappropriate.	
	"suitable				
	mitigation"				

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours faithfully

Rebecca Stirnemann

Regional Manager, Central Northland Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc